NUCLEAR QUADRUPOLE RESONANCE OF Mn⁵⁵, Re¹⁸⁵, Re¹⁸⁷ IN CYCLOPENTADIENYLMANGANESE (RHENIUM) TRICARBONYLS

A.N. Nesmeyanov, G.K. Semin, E.V. Bryuchova, T.A.Babushkina, K.N. Anisimov, N.E. Kolobova, Yu.V. Makarov Institute of Elemento-Organic Compounds Academy of Science, USSR, Moscow

(Received in UK 6 June 1968; accepted for publication 17 June 1968)

The subject of this work is a study of Mn⁵⁵, Re¹⁸⁵ and Re¹⁸⁷ NQR spectra for a series of substituted cyclopentadienylmanganese (rhenium) tricarbonyls.

The NQR spectrum of Mn^{55} was first investigated in $C_5H_5Mn(CO)_3$ at room temperature by Voitländer et al $\ell \uparrow J_{\bullet}$

The spectrum of $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ measured by those authors was found to be erroneous $\int 2 J_{.}$

The only known spectrum of Re^{185} , Re^{187} was the spectrum of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ studied at room temperature $\int 3 J$.

The result of our investigation are listed in Table 1 and 2. We calculated guadrupole coupling constants eQq_{zz} and asymmetry parameters when we succeeded in watching transition ($\pm 1/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$) as well as ($\pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 5/2$) in Mn⁵⁵, Re¹⁸⁵ and Re¹⁸⁷.

Of special interest is the study of the influence of a substituent on a metal atom. For this we applied the method of two parameter correlations of NQR spectral parameters of Mn^{55} with \bigcirc - constants of the substituents. Supposing the influence of the substituents to be a function of the interaction reactivity according to the induction or conjugation mechanism, the expression

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{0} + \alpha \mathbf{G}_{\overline{I}} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{G}_{R} \tag{1}$$

has been plotted, where

 $A = eQq_{ZZ} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \end{pmatrix} (\pm 3/2 \iff \pm 5/2)$

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are the induction and conjugation constants of substituents

And B - the coefficients which determine the transmission properties of a system in relation to the induction and conjugation influence of the substituents.

After a least squares treatment the following equations have been obtained

$$eQ_{q_{zz}} = [(63.72 + 2.89 G_{I} - 14, 18 G_{R}) \pm 0.42 J \text{ Mc/s} (2)$$

$$r = 0.971$$

$$i = 3/2 \longrightarrow \pm 5/2 = [(19.12 + 0.65 G_{I} - 4.32 G_{R})^{\pm} 0.12 J \text{ Mc/s} (3)$$

$$r = 0.973$$

The equation (2) was derived by making use of the compounds 1,3-8,11 (see Table 1) and the equation (3) by making use of the compounds 1,3-8, 11-13,16. The values of $O_{\underline{I}}$ and $O_{\underline{P}}$, used in the calculations as the induction and conjugations constants, have been determined from the chemical shifts of F^{19} for some fluorobenzene derivatives in inert solvents $\int 4 J$.

The ratio of the constant terms and coefficients \propto and B in these equations is near to 0.3-0.4 which approximately coincides with the ratio $\frac{1}{2\Omega_0}$ for the spin I = 5/2.

It is seen here that the equation (2) can be applied more widely than simply for the substituents which were used to obtain it.

It should be noted that in both equations the coefficient of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is for greater than that of $\mathcal{G}_{I^{\circ}}$. This means that in the series in question the influence transmission from a substituent in the cyclopentadienyl ring to a metal atom occurs rather in accordance with the conjugation than the induction mechanism.

Attention must be called to the fact that the experimental value of eQq_{zz} for $C_5H_5Mn(CO)_3$ (this point was left out in the calculations) is about 1.5 Mc/S greater than the one calculated from the equation (2). It is possible to explain such a great difference by admitting a fulvene-like rearrangement of C_5H_5 -ring on introduction of the substituent X. In addition the

introduction of a substituent changes the symmetry of the ring: the fivefold axis as well as four symmetry planes out of five are lost.

It is worth noticing that the asymmetry parameters / for the substituents COR (R = CH₃, CF₃, C₆H₅) are appreciably greater than the asymmetry parameter / for C₅H₅Mn(CO)₃. It is possibly caused either by a direct interaction of the substituent (or more exactly by a lone electron pair on the oxygen atom) with the metal atom giving rise to a distortion of the ideal structure of the molecule C₅H₅Mn(CO)₃ or by a fulvene-like rearrangement of the cyclopentadienyl ring. Since all these points fit in with correlation equations it should be assumed that in spite of some slight distortions in the molecule there is no loss of the conjugation of a substituent with the ring.

An increase in the asymmetry parameter / for similar substituents in cyclopentadienyl rhenium tricarbonyls is more pronounced. In this case the distortion of a molecule is probably much greater than for the mangamese compounds.

If one compares the quadrupole coupling constants of Mn^{55} and Re^{187} in the analogous compounds the difference between them appears to be of about an order of magnitude while their quadrupole moments $\int J differ$ by about five or six times. It means that the electric field gradient at the nucleus of Re atom is about twice as high as that at the manganese nucleus.

Table	1
-------	---

Compound	$\frac{\text{Transition } f}{(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{t}{3})/2}$	<mark>requency (Mc/S)</mark> 2) (±3/2↔±5/2) ; 77 ⁰ K	Para- meter asym- metry 7%	eQq _{z:} Mc/S	z Notes
1. (CO) 3 MINC 5 H4 COOF 3	9.09	17,736	13.9	59•35	
2. (CO) 3 MINC 5 H4 COOCH3	9.21	18,348	5.6	61.20	
3. (CO) 3 MINC 5 H4 COCH3	9•2 9	18,472	6•8	61.63	
4. (CO) 3 MINC 5 H4 COOH	9•34	18,682	0	62•27	
5. $(CO)_{3}$ MnC ₅ H ₄ COC_{6} H ₅	9.50	18,672	11.8	62.41	
6. (CO) 3 MmC 5H4 SO 2NH2	9.58	19,050	6 •9	63•56	
7. (CO) 3 MnC 5 H4 CH3	9 •7 5	19,452	4.4	64.86	
8. (CO) 3 MnC 5 H4 CH2C1	9•77	19,476	5.1	64 •9 5) c1 ³⁵ =34•41 Mc/s
9• (CO)3 ^{MmC} 5 ^{H5}	9•79	19,572	1.9	65.24	[1]
10.(CO)3MnC5H4 SO3Na	9.88	19 ,7 40	2 •9	65.81	
11. (CO) 3 Mn C5 H4 J	10.07	20,094	4•3	67.00	
$12.(CO)_{3}$ MmC ₅ H ₄ COC1	••••	17,960	***	••••) c1 ³⁵ =30.43 Mc/8
13.(00)3Mn05H4 SO201	• • • •	18,486	•••	••••) c1 ³⁵ =33.56 Mc/S
14.(CO)3MmC5H3 (CH2 C1) ₂	18,820	•••	••••) c1 ³⁵ = ³⁴ •58 =33•79 Mc/S
15.(00)3MnC5H4 SO3C2H5	****	19,300			
16.(CO) ₃ MnC ₅ H ₄ C ₂ H ₅	• • • •	19,380 19,760	•••	••••	
17•(CO) ₃ мъс ₅ н ₄ сосн ₂ с1	••••	18,180) cl ³⁵ = 36.33 36.63 Mc/s
$18 \cdot (CO)_3 \text{MnC}_5 \text{H}_4 \text{ COCH}_2 \text{Br}$		18,150			

.

	Compound	Isotope	Transition <u>Mc/S</u> (±1/2↔±3/2 at	frequency 2)(±3/2↔±5/2) ; 77°K	Para- meter asim- metry 7 %	eQq _{zz} Mc/S	Notes
1.	(CO) ₃ ReC ₅ H ₅	_{Re} 187 _{Re} 185	88•70 93•72	175•74 185•64	8•7 8•8	586,64 619,72	
2.	(CO)3 ReC5H4COCH3	Re ¹⁸⁷ Re ¹⁸⁵	90•18 95•27	161.64 170.76	30.5 30.5	548•47 579•42	
3.	$(CO)_3 \operatorname{ReC}_5 \operatorname{H}_4 \operatorname{COC}_6 \operatorname{H}_5$	Re ¹⁸⁷ Re ¹⁸⁵	81•84 86•49	135.02 142.65	41•8 41•9	464.66 490.91	
4.	$(CO)_3 \operatorname{ReC}_5 H_4 \operatorname{COC1}$	Re ¹⁸⁷ Re ¹⁸⁵	82•75 87•42	139•50 147•37	39•1 39•1	478•25 505•24	Vc1 ³⁵ = 30.59 Mc/s
5.	(CO)3 Rec5H4 COOH	Re ¹⁸⁷ Re ¹⁸⁵	83•86 88•60				
6.	(CO)3 Re C5H4CH3 x/	Re ¹⁸⁷	94•55				
7•	$(co)_3 \operatorname{Rec}_5 H_4 SO_3 H \cdot 1.4 - NH_2 C_6 H_4 CH_3$	Re ¹⁸⁷ Re ¹⁸⁵	(94•71 (99•02 (100•04)104•63				
8.	$\operatorname{Re}_{2}(\operatorname{CO})_{10}^{\times\times/}$	_{Re} 187 / ^{Re} 185	33.828 35.736	37•597 39•725	87•35 87•39	139•22 147•11	a 35
9 •	(CO)3 Rec5H4SO2CI					ł	33.84 Mc/s

^x/ We obtain (CO)₃ $\text{ReC}_{5}H_{4}CH_{3}$ (m.p. 43-44^o) by means of the interaction of Rhenium pentacarbonylchloride with sodium methylcyclopentadienyl.

xx/ The forbidden transition ($\pm 1/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 5/2$) Re¹⁸⁷ 71.51 Mc/S; Re¹⁸⁵ 75.45 Mc/S was observed. For the first time the spectrum of Re₂(CO)₁₀ at room temperature was observed in work $\int 3 J_{.}$

+/ (CO)₃ Re C₅H₄SO₂Cl (m.p. 126.5-127.5) was obtained from the compound 7 (see Table 2). Its synthesis will be published later. All the remaining compounds in Tables 1 and 2 were prepared according to already known methods.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Voitländer, H. Klocke, R. Longino, H. Thieme <u>Naturwiss.</u>, <u>49</u>, 491 (1962)
- 2. E.A.C. Lucken Report at the XVIII AMPER's Collokvium
- 3. S. Segel, R. Barnes Phys. Rev., 107, 638 (1957)
- 4. Yu. A. Zhdanov, V.I. Minkin Korrelyatsionnii Analiz v Organ. Khim., Izd. Rostovsk. Gosudarst. Univ., 1966
- 5. C.H. Townes, A.L. Schawlow <u>Microwave Spectroscopy</u>, N.Y.-L.-Toronto, 1955